



1. Team members represent the key interests and concerns in the southwest region.




Strongly Agree	1	8%
Agree	8	62%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	1	8%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

2. Team members bring specialized knowledge that would otherwise not be readily available to the modelers.




Strongly Agree	2	15%
Agree	8	62%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

3. I have sufficient opportunity to present my ideas and raise questions.




Strongly Agree	2	15%
Agree	11	85%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

4. The modelers are responsive to my concerns and questions.




Strongly Agree	4	31%
Agree	6	46%
Neutral	2	15%
Disagree	1	8%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

5. I believe the model will capture the key trends in this region relevant to the Arizona Water Settlements Act.




Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	8	62%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	2	15%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

6. Meetings are well organized.




Strongly Agree	1	8%
Agree	12	92%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

7. This collaborative, multidisciplinary approach is a more effective way to design a useful model than having modelers design alone.




Strongly Agree	6	46%
Agree	6	46%
Neutral	1	8%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

8. The “virtual” meeting format is appropriate for this project.




Strongly Agree	4	31%
Agree	8	62%
Neutral	1	8%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

9. The frequency of face-to-face meetings is sufficient for this project.




Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	4	33%
Neutral	3	25%
Disagree	5	42%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	12	100%

10. Using Webex is easy.




Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	10	77%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

11. I would encourage other collaborative modeling teams to use Webex.




Strongly Agree	4	31%
Agree	6	46%
Neutral	2	15%
Disagree	1	8%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

12. Projects like this should have a website for posting data, notes, and other information.




Strongly Agree	4	31%
Agree	9	69%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

13. I have used the website consistently during this project.




Strongly Agree	2	15%
Agree	4	31%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	7	54%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

14. I expect the model to identify relationships related to water supply and demand that were not apparent without the model.




Strongly Agree	2	15%
Agree	7	54%
Neutral	2	15%
Disagree	2	15%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

15. I believe the model will be a useful tool in making a decision about the Arizona Water Settlements Act.



Strongly Agree	2	15%
Agree	6	46%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	2	15%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

16. I believe the model will be an appropriate tool to use in public meetings about the Arizona Water Settlements Act



Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	8	62%
Neutral	3	23%
Disagree	2	15%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	13	100%

17. Please note any suggestions for improving team meetings.

- The more information and data that can be supplied before the meeting the better, and the more focus that is provided in the agenda and during the meeting, the better. Team members should review the info before the meeting and think about how they can contribute to the effort and then provide that contribution during the meeting.
- ok
- More face to face, more involvement of the facilitator in eliciting comment from all parties. The facilitator does too much discussion of her own views.
- More face to face meetings.
- None
- A strong agenda helps the meetings to be constructive
- Need more face to face. Perhaps quarterly.
- More frequent face-to-face meetings may help focus discussions. There's a lot of body language that gets missed over Webex.

18. Please note any concerns with team composition.

- I think the team composition is good, except for lacking certain county representation, but we tried.
- ok
- Industry (mining) is missing.
- I would like to see Phelps Dodge be a more active member. Hidalgo participation would be nice, but not critical.
- Diversity is good
- Lack of US Forest Service may cause some lack of credibility.
- Phelps Dodge--the largest water right owner in the area by far--is not participating. The Forest Service, which manages large sections of the upper Gila watershed, is not participating.

19. Please note any concerns with Webex.

- It has worked great for me.
- ok
- Still see some bugs, but nothing substantial.
- None.
- appears to be working
- Works well if you have a higher speed internet link

20. Any other comments about the project or model?

- This will be a very general model that will show only general trends. More detailed modeling is needed that will link to this general model. That more detailed modeling should be developed by the tech subcommittee, which needs more interaction with the modeling committee and with the "science forum" group. There are too many disparate efforts that aren't communicating well in this overall project.
- ok
- So far there have been no presentations of data by team members using the WEBEX format. Might try that to see 1) how WEBEX works for presentations by others and 2) encourage the team in presenting their own ideas.
- I'm pretty confident about the process and the anticipated results.
- I feel this is a good effort, progress is being made, the outcomes will be valuable,
- I think we need to establish some definition or criteria for "finishing" this initial phase of the model/effort.
- I think that we should have more "dry runs" of the model. We are working on pieces of it, but it is not clear how well the pieces fit together in terms of process. I don't have a sense of where we are in terms of meeting the goals of the project. As a result, I really can't answer questions 14, 15, and 16.
- Not enough attention is being paid to a "no-action alternative" that essentially leaves the river alone. The question that needs further study: what are the needs of the river and its natural resources.
- Anxious to see the model as it progresses.